## Asian Resonance

# Disease of Pea and Their Biological Management



Ashwani Kumar Verma Assistant Professor, Deptt.of Botany, R. R. Govt. (Autonomous) P.G. College, Alwar



Laxmi Meena Assistant Professor. Deptt.of Botany, R. R. Govt. (Autonomous) P.G. College. Alwar



Laxmikant Sharma Associate Professor, Deptt.of Botany, R. R. Govt. (Autonomous) P.G. College, Alwar

### Abstract

Pea is an economically important crop grown throughout the world. The crop suffers from various diseases incited by different pathogens viz. fungi, bacteria, virus and nematode. Various strategies have been adopted to control these diseases including chemical and physical measures. The increasing uses of synthesized chemical based pesticides imposed serious hazards on the environment. So there is an urgent need to find alternate measures to control the diseases. The present review article threw a light on the various aspects of different diseases and their control by using economical and eco-friendly measures.

Keywords: World Pea Production, Pea Hovers. Introduction

The world pea production of pea hovers around 12 million metric tons, Canada being the largest producer among all. France, China, Russia, India, United States of America, Ukraine, Germany, Australia, United Kingdom, Ethiopia, Spain, Austria, Belarus, Sweden, Czech Republic, Denmark, Pakistan, Peru and Romania are other major pea producing countries. India is one of the largest producers of dry pea in the world and stand at the 4<sup>th</sup> place in the list of major dry pea producers. The Indian production contributes to around 7% in the world's total produce with the production figures of 8 lacs metric tons. Uttar Pradesh is the major field pea producing state in India producing about 60% of the total country. The other major pea producing states in India are Madhya Pradesh, Bihar, Punjab and Himachal Pradesh (Anonymous, 2010).

Pea (Pisum sativum) (fabaceae) is an important vegetable and pulse crop of india covering an area of 7 lacs hectares and producing 6.1 lacs tones of grains. It is cultivated throughout the country but 90% of its total area is confined to Uttar Pradesh (Thind, 1998, Kocchar, 2009, Anonymous, 2010). Early group of pea cultivars are Arkel, Jawahar matar 3 and 4, Azad p1, JP 83 and Mid-season group cultivars are Bonneville, Arka ajit, Jawahar matar 1 and 2. It is a crop of moderately cool growing season, a fair amount of rainfall and a temperature of 13-18°C. The crop thrives best on soils with a pH 6.0-7.5 (Kocchar, 2009). The whole pod of pea can be eaten as the pod walls contain less fibre. It is a good a source of nutritious food and used as a fresh vegetable or in soup as canned, processed or dehydrated seeds (Thamburaj and Singh, 2005, Kocchar, 2009). Like other pulse crops, diseases caused by Fungi, Bacteria, Viruses and Nematodes are among the notable risk factors of field pea cultivation.

### Aim of the Study

The increasing hazardous effect of chemical pesticides used for the treatment of pathogen induced diseases is a great concern to the environment. There is an urgent requirement to find alternative measures to control diseases with greater efficacy through biological means. The present investigated different biological approaches that can be used to control various diseases of pea caused by bacterial, fungal and virus pathogens.

### **Bacterial Disease**

Bacterial blight in pea caused by Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi. Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi is a seed-borne bacteria responsible for the surface frost damage in plants causes bacterial blight in pea (Hirano and Upper, 1990, Garden et al., 1990). A new strain of Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi has been reported in Shizuoka Prefecture, Japan associated with White Top disease of pea. The disease occurs in early autumn when pea plants grow vigorously. The disease is characterized by chlorosis and whitening of apical shoots, including leaflets, stipules and young pods. Incidence of pathogen in Rajasthan, India was reported in the range of 3.5 to 91.5%. The bacterial pathogen found in the seed coat and space

between spermoderm and seed coat. Colonization of bacterial cells caused cell lysis and reduction in seed quality (Verma, Arora and Agrawal, 2016; Verma and Agrawal, 2018). Usually these White Top symptoms are associated with extensive watersoaked lesions on stem and on leaflets at the basal part of the diseased plants (Suzuki, 2003). Optimum temperature for bacterial blight is 22.7°C while minimum is 7.2°C and maximum is 37.7°C (Gupta and Thind, 2006). Pathovars of Pseudomonas syringae are known to produce Toxins such as syringomycein E, Syringotoxin, Syringopeptin (Trigiano, Windham and Windham, 2004). Secretion of Caronatin, Phaseolotoxin, Tabtoxin and Tagititoxin has also been reported from Pseudomonas Syringae phaseolicola, pv. maculicola, pv. tagetis, pv. tabaci (Agrios, 2005). Esterase isozyme profiling was proposed as a new identification procedure for bacterial pea blight agent (Malandrin and Samson, 1998).

Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi also secrete some enzymes during infection in plants namely Pectate lyase, Cutinase, Suberinesterases (Agrios, 2005). Serological (Lyon et al., 1995, Blanka et al., 1999, and Mollenbruck and Sander, 1991) and molecular (Bavage et al., 1991, Cournoyer et al., 1993 and fraaije et al., 1993) detection and characterization of the bacterial blight pathogen have also been reported.

Reduction in bacterial blight upto 62 percent by weekly sprays of Streptomycin has been reported (Forbes and Bretag, 1991). Verma and Agrawal (2015) found that plant extracts of *Withania somnifera* (leaf), *Azadirachta indica* (leaf), *Emblica officinalis* (fruit), *Treminelia chebula* (fruit), *Allium sativum* (bulb) and *Zinziber officinalis* (rhizome) were significantly effective to control the pea seed-borne bacterial pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *pisi*.

### Fungal Diseases Stem Rot

Stem rot caused by Sclerotinia sclerotiorum is a disease restricted to cool and humid areas. Under Indian condition, it is of common occurrence on northern hills and in eastern Uttar Pradesh and is reported to cause 4.4-30.7% yield loss in eastern Uttar Pradesh and 70.3% in Kullu valley of Himachal Pradesh (Thind, 1998). The disease appears at the flowering stage if low temperature and rains prevail. Typical symptoms are quick rotting of the stem and foliage coupled with white cottony growth of the mycelium. The crop subsequently dries up in patches. Late in the season black elongated Sclerotia of irregular shape can be seen over and inside the stem. Thick crop canopy and luxurious crop growth favour the disease. The pathogen survives in the infected crop debris (Thind, 1998).

A number of fungi and bacteria are reported to antagonistic to *Sclerotinia* spp. and application of *Trichoderma koningii* reduced viability of Sclerotia (Trutmann and Keane, 1990). Singh (1991) reported *Penicillium cyclopium*, *Penicillium sheari*, *Paecilomyces lilacinus*, *Aspergillus niger*, *Aspergillus fumigates*, *Acremonium implicatum* and *Trichoderma roseum* as antagonistic against *Sclerotinia* 

## Asian Resonance

sclerotiorum from Himachal Pradesh. Control of Sclerotinia rot can be achieved through carbendazim, captafol or triadimefen sprays (Srivastava and Singh, 1993). Singh *et al.*, (1992) suggested combinations of fungicidal seed treatment with carbendazim or thiram.

#### Powdery mildew

Powdery mildew caused by *Erysiphe pisi* Syd. (Syn. *Erysiphe polygoni* DC.) and *Oidium erysiphoides*. It is characterized by the formation of small, diffused and off-coloured spots on the upper surface of lower leaves. Lesions later appeared as white powdery areas and subsequently cover both surfaces of leaves, petioles, tendrils, stems and pods. Affected tissues turn brown and necrotic. In severe cases, entire plant dries up prematurely (Nyvall, 1999). Reduction in nodulation and nitrogenase activity due to infection is also observed (Singh and Mishra, 1992). Powdery mildew in severely infected crop may cause the reduction in pods per plant up to 28.6% (Rathi and Tripathi, 1994).

bacterization with Seed Pseudomonas fluorescence and Pseudomonas aeruginosa provides resistance to the disease (Singh et al., 2003). Seed treatment with Trichoderma viridae in combination with spray of Karathane (0.2%) or Carbendazim (0.1%) or Mancozeb (0.25%) found effective to control powdery mildew and downy mildew of pea (Barnwal, Sah and 2009). Low temperature stimulates cleistothecial formation in dry temperature region (Kapoor and Choudhary, 1995). Aqueous extracts of vermicompost (AVC) inhibited spore germination of several fungi. They also affected the development of powdery mildew on balsam (Impatiens balsamina) and sativum) caused by (Pisum Erysiphe cichoracearum and Erysiphe pisi, respectively, in the field at very low concentrations (0.1-0.5%) (Singh, Maurya and Singh, 2003). Neemazal, a product of neem (Azadirachta indica), induces resistance in Pisum sativum against Erysiphe pisi. The effect of the compound on the disease development was correlated with increased phenylalanine ammonia lyase (PAL) activity in pea leaves following treatment with neemazal (Singh and Prithiviraj, 1997). Spraying of Ginger extract at 20000 ppm controlled pea powdery mildew in the field significantly (Singh et al., 1991). A multifaceted approach for the management of pea powdery mildew is given by Singh et al. (1994).

#### Rust

Rust of pea caused by Uromyces viciae fabae. Rust appears in the form of uredosori as small, oval to round and light brown pustules on leaves at flowering stage. As the crop matures, dark brown telia occur on leaves and stem. Pea rust pathogens are biotrophs. Uromyces viciae fabae is an autoecious rust. The pathogen survives on crop debris and collateral host in the sub-mountains and Indo-gangetic plains of north India (Thind, 1998). Wide variation in number of aecial cup in pea against *U. fabae* has been reported (Kushwaha, Chand and Srivastava, 2009). Strains of *U. fabae* were found cross infective between lentil, board been and pea (Singh and Shyam, 2000). In the Uromyces fabae, the transition from the early stages of host plant invasion towards parasitic growth is accompanied by the activation of many genes (PIGs

= in planta induced genes). Two of them PIG1(=THI1) and PIG4(=THI2), were found to be highly transcribed in haustaria and are homologous to genes involved in thiamine (vitamin B1) biosynthesis in yeast (Sohn et al., 2000).

Triazole fungicides are reported to provide excellent control against rust (Gupta and Shyam, 2000). Tebuconazole 250ws @0.1% has been reported as the best fungicide for the control of pea rust (Singh, 2007). Two RAPD markers, viz., SC10-82360 (primer, GCCGTGAAGT) and SCRI-711000 (primer, GTGGCGTAGT) linked to gene for resistance to rust in pea were identified (Vijayalakshmi, et al., 2005).

### Ascochytosis

Ascochytosis is a severe problem in temperate and subtropical zones including hill areas. In India Ascochytosis has been reported from various locations of Himachal Pradesh and Punjab (Rana et al., 2009). Three distinct species viz. Ascochyta pinodella, Ascochyta pisi and Ascochyta pinodes (Perfect state-Mycosphaerella pinodes) are known to infect pea crop. Ascochyta pinodella causes small, irregular to circular, purpulish spot on leaves. Lesions sometimes show concentric rings. Similar lesions are caused on stem and pods. Ascochyta pinodella also causes foot rot under humid conditions. Ascochyta pisi causes tan coloured lesions instead of brown or black. Tiny black fruiting bodies are also visible on the lesions. Ascochyta pinodes causes blight symptoms on leaves, stem and pods. Ascochyta pinodella can be distinguished from A. pinodes by larger size conidia while A. pisi produces light buff to flesh coloured spore mass exudates. These pathogens are known to survive through seed and infected crop debris in the soil. The molecular sequencing of Ascochyta permitted to distinguish Ascochyta pisi from Ascochyta pinodes and Ascochyta pinodella (Tadja et al., 2009). Didymella pisi has been recognized as telomorph of Ascochyta pisi (Chilvers et al., 2008).

Two sprays of hexaconazole 5EC@ 0.2% resulted in a good control of Powdery mildew and Ascochyta blight (88%) in pea. Thyme oil and a strain of Clonostachys rosea showed some effectiveness against Ascochyta spp. (Tinivella, 2009). Seed dressing of benomyl-1½ (42.4g) to 2oz (56.6g) Benlate 50% w.p.per 28lb (12.7kg) of seed gives complete control of Ascochyta infection of pea seeds (Maude and Kyle, 1970). Fungicides effective against Ascochyta blight are mancozeb, copper oxychloride, orthocide, zineb and orthophalton. Among systemic fungicides, benomyl and carbendazim are quite effective (Thind, 1998).

### Wilt and Root Rot

Pea wilt caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. pisi and Fusarium solani f. sp. pisi. Pea root rot complex reported by Alternaria alternate, Alternaria euteiches, Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. pisi, Fusarium solani f. sp. pisi, Mycosphaerella pinodes, Pythium sp., Rhizoctania solani and Sclerotinia sclerotiorum are major yield limiting diseases for pea production in Canada (Xue, 2003). Aphanomyces euteiches f. sp. pisi and Thielavopsis basicola are also reported to

## Asian Resonance

associated with pea root rot complex. Aphanomyces euteiches f. sp. pisi shows wide variation in pathogenicity and genotype (Malvick and Percich, 1998). Symptoms appeared as yellowing of lower leaves and stunting of plant. Leaflet margins curl downward, stem may swell slightly at the ground level and plant die soon. The pathogens survives in soil in their saprophytic phase (Thind, 1998).

Integration of seed treatment, bioagent (Trichoderma hazianum), soil application of wheat bran based formulation and mulch significantly lowered the wilt-root rot complex of pea (Paul, Devin and Kapoor, 2008). Xue (2003) reported use of Clonostachys rosea as single biocontrol agent against all pathogens involved in pea root rot complex. Control of pea root rot caused by Rhizoctania solani has also been successfully achieved through the use of Bacillus subtilis (Hwang and Chakravarty, 1992) and Gliocladium virens (Hwang and Chakravarty, 1993). Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Glomus intraradices with increased phosphate concentration in plant but reduced root rot disease development in peas caused by Aphanomyces euteiches (Bodker, Kjoller and Rosendahl, 1998). Seed meal from Brassica napus (rapeseed) produced volatile fungitoxic compounds potentially of value in the control of Aphanomyces root rot of pea (Smolinska et al.,1997). Bioprotection of pea roots against Aphanomyces euteiches by the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Glomus mosseal was demonstrated to depend on a fully established symbiosis. This was related with induction of mycorrhiza-related chitinolytic enzymes (Slezack et al., 2000).

#### **Anthracnose**

Anthracnose is caused by Colletotrichum gloeosporioides. Symptoms appeared as large, diamond-shaped lesions with bleached or white centers from on the lower portions of diseased stems. Under magnification, several small, black acervuli, appearing as upright "hairy" structures due to the presence of numerous dark setae can be seen in the center of most lesions. Young dead shoots may droop to form "Shepherd's crooks," which is a useful diagnostic symptom. Under experimental conditions, the fungicides benomyl, copper hydroxide and mancozeb reduced diseased severity (Nyvall, 1999).

Other minor diseases such as Grev mold caused by Botrytis cineria, Pod spot or Pod rot caused by Phytophthora parasitica, Fusarium semitectum, Colletotrichum pisi, Alternaria brassicae var. phaseoli, and Damping-off caused by Sclerotium rolfsii and Pythium spp. have been reported to associated with pea.

Thirty species of fungi belonging to 15 genera were found associated with seed-borne diseases of pea. Alternaria tenuissima, A. tenuis, Ascochyta pinodes, A. pisi, Aspergillus flavus, A. niger, Aspergillus sp., Cladosporium herbarum, Fusarium moniliforme, F. oxysporum, Penicillium sp., Phoma medicaginis var. pinodella, Rhizoctania solani and Sclerotinia sclerotiorum were the frequently encountered species (Rathour and Paul, 2004). Rhizobium leguminosarum Jordan bv. Viceae strains from pea and lentil root nodules have the potential to

be used for biological control of Pythium damping-off of field pea (Bardin et al., 2004). Pseudomonas cepacia AMMD and Pseudomonas florescens PRA25, antagonists found effective against Pythium dampingoff and Aphanomyces root rot diseases. Seed treatment with these bioagents increases emergence by 61% and 30% respectively (Parke et al. 1991).

#### Virus Diseases

All together 35 virus diseases are reported to infect pea crop worldwide. Among these, pea mosaic incited by bean virus 2 (bean yellow mosaic virus) is widespread (Thind, 1998). pea seed borne mosaic virus is economically important pathogen with worldwide distribution that causes significant losses in pea yield and reduces seed and produce quality (Frew et al., 2002). pea seed borne mosaic virus overwinters in the infected seeds of pea and lentil. Under field conditions, the disease spread by aphids from the neighbouring fields. Optimum temperature for the disease is 28-32°c (Gupta and Thind, 2006). pea seed borne mosaic virus belongs to potyvirus group and causes shortening of pea (Sontakk and Chavan, 2007). Others many seed-borne viruses namely pea early browning virus, pea enation mosaic virus, pea false leaf roll virus, pea mild mosaic, pea streak virus have been reported (Richardson, 1990, Agrios, 2005). pea enation mosaic virus (PEMV) is associated with two genera Enamovirus and Umbravirus based on the two distinct RNAs in its genome and is referred to as pea enation mosaic virus-1 and pea enation mosaic virus-2 respectively (Dembler et el., 1996). Pea cultivars like Frankin and Lifter have been reported to be resistant to pea enation mosaic virus (McPhee and Muehlbauer, 2002, a,b).

A new nanovirus named pea necrotic yellow dwarf virus (PNYDV) has been reported in Germany. The agent caused severe yellowing and stunting in naturally infected pea and faba bean, sometimes followed by necrosis (Grigoras and Gronenborn, 2010).

#### Conclusion

As according the above discussion, it is clear that diseases of pea crop can be efficiently managed by the use of eco-friendly biological management strategies which will reduce the input costs and reduces environmental hazards.

### References

- Agrios, G. N., 2005. Plant Pathology. Elsevier Academic Press, San Diego, California. pp 922.
- Anonymous, 2010. www.interscience.wiley.co
- Bardin, S. D., Huang, H. C., Pinto, J., Amundsen, E. .J. and Erickson, R. S., 2004. Biological control of Pythium damping-off of pea and sugar beet by Rhizobium leguminosarum bv. viceae. Can. J. Bot. 82(3): 291-296.
- 4. Barnwal, M.K., Sah,A. and Kumar,R.,2009. Integrated management of location specific disease of pea.J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol. 39(2): 365-
- 5. Bavage, A. D., Vivian, A., Artherton, G. T., Taylor, J. d. and Malik, A.W., 1991. Molecular genetics of Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi plasmid involvement in cultivar specific incompatibility. J.Gen.microbiol.197:2231-2239.

## Asian Resonance

- Blanka, K., Iveta, P. and Vaclav, k., 1999. Bacterial blight of pea - detection of pathogen and resistance of varieties.In:New aspects of resistance research on cultivated plants, bacterial diseases.proc.Int.Smp.Nov.18-19,1998.
- Bodker, L., Kjoller, R. and Rosendahl, S., 1998. Effect of phosphate and the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus Glomus intraradices on disease severity of root rot of peas(Pisum sativum) caused by Aphanomyces euteiches. Mycorrhiza.8(3):169-174.
- Bretag, T.W., Keane, P.J. and Price, T.V., 2005. The epidemiology and control of Ascochyta blight in field peas:a review.Australian Journal of Agricultural Research.57(8):883-902.
- Chilvers, M.I., Rogers, J.D., Dugan, F.M., Stewart, J.E., Chen, W. and Tobin, L.P., 2008. Didymella pisi sp. nov.,the telomorph of Ascochyta pisi.Mycological Research.113(3):391-400.
- 10. Cournoyer, B., Sharp, J.D., Astuto, A., Gibbon, M.J., Ta ylor,J.D. and Vivian, A., 1993. Molecular characterization of the Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi plasmid-borne avirulence gene avrPp1B which matches the P3 resistance locus on pea.Mol.Pl.Microbe.Interac.8:700-708.
- 11. Demler, S.Q., dezoeten, G.A., Adam, G. and Harris, K.F.,1996.In:"The plant viruses, vol.5, Polyhedral and Bipartite RNA Genomes." (Eds.Harrison, B.D. and Murant, A.F.). Plenum press, Newyork, USA, pp303-304.
- 12. Dhar, V. and Choudhary, R.G., 1998. Diseases of and fieldpea pigeonpea and management.In: "Diseases of field crops and their management" (Ed. Thind, T.S.) Daya Publishing house, Delhi. pp217-238.
- 13. Fraaije, B.A., Franken, AAJM, Zouwen, P-svander, Bino, R.J., Langerak, C.J., Vanderzouwn, R.S., 1993. Serological and Conductimetric assays for the detection of Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi in pea seeds.J.App.Bacteriol.73:409-413.
- 14. Frew, T.J., Russell, A.C. and Timmerman-Vaughan. G.M.,2002. Sequence tagged site markers linked to the sbm1 gene for resistance to pea seed borne mosaic virus in pea. Plant Breeding. 121:512-516.
- 15. Garden et al.1999.DNA relatedness among the pathovars of Pseudomonas syringae and description of Pseudomonas tremae sp. and Pseudomonas cannabina.Int.J.syst.Bacteriol. 49 (pt2):469-478.
- 16. Grigoras I. and Gronenborn,2010. First report of a Nanovirus Disease of pea in Germany.Plant Dis.94(5):642.
- 17. Gupta, S.K. and Thind, T.S., 2006. Disease problems in vegetable production.scientific publisher (India) pp576.
- 18. Gupta, S.K. and Shyam, K.R.,2000.Post-infection activity of ergosterol biosynthesis inhibiting fungicides against pea rust.J.Mycol.Pl. Pathol. 30:414.
- 19. Hirano, S.S. and Upper, C.D., 1990. Population biology and epidemiology of Pseudomonas syringae.Annual Reviews in Phytopathology. 28:155-157.

- 20. Hollaway, G.J.,Bretag, T.W.,Gooden,J.M. and Hannah, H.C.,1996.Effect of soil water content and temperature on the transmission of Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi from pea seed (Pisum sativum) to seedlings. Aust.J.Pl. Pathol.25:26-30.
- Hwang,S.F. and Chakravarty,P.,1992.Potential for the integrated control of Rhizoctania root rot of Pisum sativum using Bacillus subtilis and a fungicide.Zeitschrift Fur Planzenkrankheiten Und Pflanzenschutz.100:308-316.
- Kapoor,A.S. and Choudhary,H.K.,1995.Mode of perpetuation of Erysiphe pisi in dry temperate zone of Himachal Pradesh.Indian Phytopath. 48:77-78.
- 23. Katoch,R.,Mann,A.P.S. and Sohal,B.S.,2005. Enhanced enzyme activities and induction of acquired resistance in pea with elicitors.Journal of Vegetable Science.11:67-83.
- Kochhar, S.L., 2009. Economic Botany in the Tropics. Macmillan India Ltd., Daryaganj, New Delhi. pp658.
- Kushwaha, C., Chand, R. and Srivastava, C.P., 2009. Number of aecial cups per pustules-A slow rusting component in pea (Pisum sativum L.) against Uromyces fabae(pers de bary). Souvenier and Abstracts, 5<sup>th</sup> Int. Conf. on Plant Pathology in the Globalized Era (Nov. 10-13, 2009). New Delhi. India. Indian Phytopathological Society: 267.
- Lyon,N.F., Taylor, J.D., Roberts, S.J., Moury, Y., Dube y, C., Masmaudi, K., Faris Mokaiesh, S., Corblere, E., Mendes Pereira, E., SireD., Samson, R., Malandrin, L., Ground eu, C., Franken, AAJM and Fraaiji, B.A., 1995. International programme on the serological detection of bacterial, fungal and viral pathogens of protein pea seeds. Bull. OEPP. 23:393-401.
- Mcphee,K.E. and Muehlbauer, F.J.,2002. Registration of 'Lifter' green dry pea.Crop Sci.42(4):1377-78.
- Malvick, D.K. and Perrich, J.A., 1998b. Genotypic and pathogenic diversity among pea infecting strains of Aphanomyces euteiches from the central and western United States. Phytopath. 88:922.
- 29. Malandrin,L. and Samson,R.,1998.Isozyme analysis for the identification of Pseudomonas syringae pathovars pisi strains.J.Appl. Microbiol.84:895-902.
- 30. Maude,R.B. and Kyle,A.M.,1970.Seed treatment with benomyl and other fungicides for the control of Ascochyta pisi on peas.Annals of Applied Biology.66(1):37-41.
- 31. Mollenbruck,G. and Sander,E.,1991.Optimisation of serological detection(ELISA) of the quarantine bacterium and selection of a pea cultivar for biotest.Z.Pflanzenkr.PflanzenSchutz.98:630-639.
- 32. Nyvall,R.F.,1999.Field crop diseases.lowa state university press/Ames.lowa.pp1021.
- 33. Oyarzum, P.J., Gerlagh, M. and Zadoks, J.C., 1998. Factors associated with soil receptivity to some fungal root rot pathogens of peas. Applied Soil Ecology. 10(1-2):151-169.

### Asian Resonance

- 34. Parke, J.L., Rand, R.E., Joy, A.E. and King, E.B., 1991. Biological control of Pythium damping-off and Aphanomyces root rot of peas by application of Pseudomonas cepacia or P.fluorescens to seed. Plant Dis. 75:987-992.
- 35. Paul, Y.S. and Rathour, R., 1998. New blight of pea from Himachal Pradesh. Indian Phytopath. 51:197-198.
- 36. Paul, Y.S., Devi, M. and Kapoor, 2008. Integrated organic management of wilt-root rot complex of pea. J. Mycol. Pl. Pathol. 38(3):571-576.
- 37. Rathi,A.S. and Tripathi,N.N.,1994.Assessment of growth reduction and yield losses in pea(Pisum sativum L.) due to powdery mildew disease caused by Erysiphe polygoni.D.C.Crop Research. 8:371-376
- 38. Rathour,R. and Paul,Y.S.,2004.Pathogenicity and management of seed mycoflora of pea.J.Mycol.Pl.Pathol.34(2):456-460.
- 39. Richardson,M.J.,1990.An annotated list of seedborne diseases,4<sup>th</sup> edn.Prof.Int.Seed Testing Associaton,Zurich,Switzerland.pp376.
- Singh,S.K.,Rahman,S.J.,Gupta,B.R. and Kalha, C.S., 1992.An integrated approach to the management of the major diseases and insect pests of peas in India.Tropical Pest Management.38:265-267.
- 41. Singh, U.P., Maurya, S. and Singh, D.P., 2003. Antifungal activity and induced resistance in peaby aqueous extract of vermicompost and for control of powdery mildew of pea and balsam. Journal of plant disease and protection. 110(6):544-553.
- 42. Singh, U.P., Prithiviraj,B.,1997. Neemazal, a product of neem (Azadirachta indica), induces resistance in pea (Pisum sativum) against Erysiphe pisi. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology.51(3): 181-194.
- 43. Singh, U.P., Srivastava, B.P., Singh, K.P. and Mishra, G.D., 1991. Control of powdrey mildew of pea by ginger extract. Indian Phytopath. 44:55-59.
- 44. Singh,R.A., Vishwa Dhar and Lal,S.,1994.The powdery mildew of pea.Indian Institute of Pulse Research, Kanpur-208024, India, pp. 17.
- Singh, U.P. and Mishra.G.D., 1992. Effect of powdery mildew (Erysiphe pisi) on nodulation and nitrogenase activity in pea (Pisum sativum). Plant Pathology. 41:262-264.
- Singh,D.,1991.Biocontrol of Sclerotinia sclerotiorum (Lib.) de bary by Trichoderma harzianum.Tropical Pest Management.37:374-378.
- 47. Slezack, S., Dumas-Gaudot, E., Paynot, M. and Gianinazzi, S., 2000. Is a fully Established Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Symbiosis Required for Bioprptection of Pisum sativum Roots against Aphanomyces euteiches?.. Molecular Plantmicrobe Interactions. 13(2):238-241.
- Smolinska, U., Knudsen, G.R., Morra, M.J. and Borek, V., 1997. Inhibition of Aphanomyces euteiches f. sp. Pisi by volatiles produced by hydrolysis of Brassica napus seed meal. Plant Dis. 81:288-292.

- 49. Sohn, J., Voegele, R.T., Mendgen, K. and Hahn, M., 2000.High level activation of vitamin B1 Biosynthesis Genes in Haustaria of the Rust Fungus Uromyces fabae. Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions.13(6):629-636.
- 50. Sontakk, P.L. and Chavan, R.A., 2007. Transmission, host range and physical properties of virus causing stunting of pea.J. Mycol. Pl.Pathol.37(3):451-453.
- 51. Srivastava, J.S. and Singh, B., 1993. Control of Sclerotinia stem and pod rot of pea.Current Trends in Life Sciences vol.19-Recent Trends in Disease Control (Eds.H.B.Singh, D.N.Upadhyay and L.R. Saha). Today and Tomorrow Printers and Publishers, New Delhi. pp143-146.
- 52. Suzuki, A., 2003. Occurrence of White top of pea caused by a new strain of Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi.Plant Dis.87(12):1404-1410.
- 53. Tadja, A., Benkada, M. Y., Rickauer, M., Bendahmane ,B.S. and Benkhelifa M.,2009.Characterization of Ascochyta as pathological species of pea(Pisum L.) at the North-West Algeria. J. Agronomy. 8:100-106.
- 54. Thamburaj, S., Singh, N. (Eds.), 2005. Text book of vegetables, Tuber crops and spices. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi.pp469.
- 55. Tinivella, F., Hirata, L.M., Celan, M.A., Wright, S.A.I., A mein, T., Schmitt, A., Koch, E., Wolf, J.M. V.D., Groot, S. P.C., Stephan, D., Garibaldi, A. and Gullino, M.L., 2009.Control of seed-borne pathogens on legumes by microbial and other alternative seed treatments. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 123(2):139-151.

# Asian Resonance

- 56. Trigiano, R.N., Windham, M.T., Windham, A.S., 2004. Plant Pathology:Concept and Laboratory Exercises.CRC press,U.K.pp273.
- 57. Trutmann, P. and Keane, P.J., 1990. Trichoderma koningii as sa biological control agent for Sclerotinia sclertiorum Southern in Australia. Soil. Biol. Biochem. 22:43-50.
- 58. Verma, A.K. and Agrawal, K., 2015. Bioefficacy of medicinal plant extracts Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi causing bacterial blight of pea. International Journal Pharmacology & Toxicology. 5(1):67-70.
- 59. Verma, A.K., Arora, P. and Agrawal, K., 2016. Incidence of bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi in pea seeds grown in Rajasthan, India. Legume Research. 39(6):1034-37.
- 60. Verma AK, Agrawal K., 2018. Location and histopathology of seed-borne bacterial pathogen Pseudomonas syringae pv. pisi carried by pea Journal of Applied Biology seeds. Biotechnology. 6(1):20-22.
- 61. Vijayalakshmi, S., Yadav, K., Kushwaha, C., Sarode, S. .B., Srivastava, C.P., Chand, R. and Singh, B.D., 2005. Identification of RAPD markers linked to the rust(Uromvces fabae) resistance gene pea(Pisum sativum). Euphytica. 144(3):265-274.
- 62. Xue, A.G., 2003. Biological control of pathogens causing root rot complex in field pea using Clonostachys rosea strain ACM941. Phytopath. 93:329-335.
- 63. Yogesh, K. Negi, Garg, S.K. and Kumar, J., 2008. Plant growth promoting and biocontrol activities of cold-tolerant Pseudomonas fluorescence isolates against root rot in pea. Indian Phytopath. 61(4):461-470.